

## 23 September 2010 [20-10]

# **APPLICATION – ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT**

A1054	Date Received:9 August 2010Date Due for completion of Administrative Assessment:30 August 2010Date Administrative Assessment Completed:		
Applicant: Ela	Potentially Affected Standards in the Code:		
Title: Dibromo-dimethylhydantoin (DBDMH) as a Processing Aid			1.3.3 and 1.3.4
<b>Brief Description of Application</b> : To approve the use of 1,3-dibromo-5,5-dimethylhydantoin (DBDMH) as an antimicrobial processing aid to be added to water for treating poultry and meat carcasses and other animal parts.			
Procedure:		Estimated total hours:	Estimated start
General		Max 650 hours	work: If unpaid, June 2011
Reasons why:		Reasons why:	
a comparable p	ent permission for rocessing aid dimethylhydantoin,	A risk assessment (including dietary exposure assessment) is required to compare this chemical processing aid to a similar approved chemical.	

## DECISION

Application accepted

## Date: 26 August 2010

Has the Applicant claimed Confidential Commercial Information status?

Yes No 🗸

Is the Application for a High Level Health Claim?

Yes No 🗸

Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together e.g. a novel food and a related high level health claim.

Yes No 🖌

## Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application is subject to ECCB?

Yes No 🗸

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes No Not known 🗸

## Application Handbook Requirements

Which Guidelines within the Part 3 of the *Application Handbook* apply to this Application: 3.1 and 3.3.2

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guidelines?

Yes 🖌 No

Is the checklist completed?

Yes**√** No

What information is not provided?

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes 🖌 No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?

Yes No 🖌

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes No 🖌

If yes, indicate which Procedure:

#### **Other Comments or Relevant Matters:**

The Applicant stated that consultation with FSANZ staff had mentioned that the Application could be considered under a Code Maintenance Proposal

#### CONSULTATION & ASSESSMENT TIMEFRAME

Consultation Strategy:Proposed length of public consultation period:General Procedure(6 weeks)

Community Involvement Category: 5 Case of minor significance or complexity with little adverse impact on individual interests.

#### Proposed Timeframe for Assessment:

#### 'Early Bird Notification' due: 23 September 2010

General Procedure: (assuming unpaid Application)

Commence Assessment (clock start) Completion of Assessment Public comment Board to complete Approval Notification to Ministerial Council Anticipated gazettal if no review requested Early June 2011 Early November 2011 Mid-November – late December 2011 Early March 2011 Mid-March 2011 Late May 2012